A National Job Analysis Study of Respiratory Therapists Who Specialize in Adult Critical Care

January 2017

Conducted for the



Prepared by

Robert C. Shaw, Jr., PhD
Assistant Executive Director,
Examinations Director and Psychometrician

and

Jennifer L. Benavente, BA/BEd Research Associate

Executive Summary

The National Board for Respiratory Care (NBRC) conducted this study to identify critical tasks performed by respiratory therapists who specialize in the critical care of adult patients. Study results will influence examination content for the ongoing Adult Critical Care Specialist (ACCS) credentialing program. The job analysis study was conducted in 2016 and was the second such study done for this program.

Members of the job analysis committee supervised the study and made the decisions affecting data gathering and results evaluation. Committee members represented various regions and practice settings across the United States.

The survey study was conducted in phases including development, distribution, response evaluations, and decision-making about examination content. The Committee developed task statements representing potential examination content plus items to collect background information about respondents. The Committee developed sampling plans for survey distribution after consulting with NBRC psychometric staff. After survey response analyses were completed, the Committee created exclusion rules by which tasks were classified as critical or not critical. The Committee specified item distributions by content domains, cognitive levels, content involving clinical ethics, and patients' conditions within test specifications tables. The intent will be to follow these specifications when assembling forms of the examination starting in June of 2018.

An invitation asking potential respondents to participate in the online survey was electronically mailed to 1,910 credentialed adult critical care specialists. A total of 815 faculty from schools accredited by the Commission on Accreditation for Respiratory Care (CoARC) received the invitation with instruction to encourage other faculty who teach adult critical care courses to respond to the survey. Additionally, 1,620 members of the Adult Acute Care specialty and 1,450 members of the Management specialty sections of the American Association of Respiratory Care (AARC) received the e-mail invitation. The final page of the survey permitted respondents to refer other therapists. Hence, the response rate among those who were solicited only could be estimated. A volunteer sample of 820 chose to provide usable responses in time for the analysis. The approximate response rate among registrants was 14.3%.

The electronic survey was set up to require a response to each task on each page before progressing to the next page of tasks. After respondents had rated each task, 98.9% indicated that the list of tasks had adequately covered the scope of their job activities. The lowest intraclass correlation value among the content domains under which tasks were organized was .996. Therefore, the same results were highly probable among other potential samples from the population. The lowest coefficient alpha value among the content domains was .902 indicating the Committee could depend on the task ratings.

The Committee assessed the degree to which the study sample had represented subgroups (for example, by region, by institutional setting) within the population of specialists. Committee members detected no disproportionate representation. Still, the Committee decided to use a task exclusion method that would give sample subgroups opportunities to exclude tasks in case representation bias was present, but undetected by the Committee.

After examining task-rating results, the Committee established exclusion rules designed to narrow the full list of 120 tasks to a subset of those tasks that were critical to competence. The concept of criticality subsumed two attributes, the extent of practice among the respondents and the

Adult Critical Care Specialist Job Analysis Report

importance to practice. A rule was created for extent and importance based on responses from the whole sample. Additional rules were based on importance among subgroups of the sample for a total of 13 rules. Tasks that were labeled as critical had to survive each rule. Applying the exclusion rules retained 120 tasks across 2 content domains. Subsumed under these major content areas were 16 sub-domains for which examination items were specified.

Committee members assigned cognitive complexity designations by consensus to each critical task according to their perceptions about the mental process by which practitioners behaved competently. Hence, items linked to these tasks will be expected to closely align with the complexities of the critical competencies. The Committee was confident that candidates' scores should reflect critical job content associated with the demands of the job when an examination comprised of multiple-choice items are developed to the new specifications.